

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/913,320	10/09/2001	Ernst Freydl	5055	8497
7590 04/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Shoemaker & Mattare Crystal Plaza Building Suite 1203 2001 Jefferson Davis Highway PO Box 2286 Arlington, VA 22202-0286			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/913,320	FREYDL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Virginia Manoharan	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communication арр Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed rs will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08/1</u>		
,	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex <i>parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the content of the content of the first sentence of the content of the content of the first sentence of the content of the content of the content of the content of the	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicate rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 (st sentence of the specification of povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (st.S.C.)	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. d) and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 13 -24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a). Claims 14-20 appear to be at odd with the claim from which they depend directly or indirectly. Claims 13 recites that the "connection means comprising plural channels", whereas, claim 20 for example, recites that the "...connection means comprise a sealing plate" which is inconsistent therewith. [A dependent claim incorporates every features of the claim from which it depends and cannot change or orient the limitation(s) already recited in the claim from which it depends]. It is noteworthy that pages 3-5 of the instant specification describe different embodiments, i.e., different connection means of the claimed invention.
- b). The terms "preferably" in claim 24 and "in particular" in claims 19, 21, and 23-24 both fail ascertain the claimed invention with precision.
- c). The inconsistent used of terminology in the claims is improper. For examples: "at least one filling opening" in claim 13, line 2 as opposed to "the filling openings " in claims 13 & 15-16. See also the "at least one deepening" in claim 17 as opposed to the "the deepening" in claim 18.
- d). Note typographical errors, e.g., "precessing" in claim 24 and "compresses" in claim 19.

Art Unit: 1764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-16 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holland (3,847, 200) or Kowarski in view of Kuhn et al (5,569,357) or Mohan et al (5,888,830).

Holland or Kowarski discloses substantially the features of the apparatus and the method as claimed. See e.g., the claims at cols 9-12 of Kowarski. The apparatus and method of Holland or kowarski differ from the claimed invention in that claim 13, for example, recites "...connection means ...connectable to the filling openings of the sample vessels individually or in group whereby the sample vessels are hermetically connectable to means for producing a vacuum and thereby may be evacuated..."; and claim 24 recites "... the filing openings of the sample vessels individually or in groups are gas-tightly connected to means for producing a vacuum, and ..evacuated..."

However, Kuhn's disclosure e.g., at col. 12, lines 40-64 of '... the vacuum system... depending on the embodiment ... factors ... can be applied individually, or in any combination, as selected by the user ... vacuum can be selectively activated and controlled to evaporate solution within a multiplicity of container..." would at least be suggestive of the communication of the filling opening of sample vessels with the means for producing a vacuum. Moreover, the means and method of connecting individually

Art Unit: 1764

the filling opening of vessels to a means for producing a vacuum is not an unobvious subject matter as suggested e.g. at col. 1 lines 39-40 of the Mohan et al reference.

To incorporate Kuhn or Mohan et al means and method to the apparatus and method of Kowarski or Holland would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, inasmuch as Kowarski, for example, suggests at col. 4, lines 8-16 and at col. 6, lines 12-15 of "... the upper body 13 is evacuated, it is sealed... the cover 36... is preferably secured to the top portion of the upper body 13... this permits selective control of the pressure levels applied to the upper body 13 to suit various characteristic of the liquid being evaporated." thereby suggesting the communication of the filling openings of sample vessels with the means of producing a vacuum.

Claims 17- 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 571-271-1450. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

V. Manoharan/af March 4,2004

> MIRGINIA MANOMENTA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1387 ZUF